

Figure 5.4 Worksheet—Teacher-Teaming Continuum Assessment

A Framework for Evaluating the Effectiveness of Teams			
Condition	Not present	Developing	Well underway
<p><b>Task Focus</b></p> <p><i>Is the team's task well defined and articulated, and does it focus on improving student learning?</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team's focus is driven by crisis within the team or pressing school needs.</li> <li>• Team devotes over 50% of meeting time to logistics (e.g., field trips, other events, parent/teacher conferences), leaving little time for issues pertaining to curriculum, pedagogy, and student learning.</li> <li>• Team goals are not established or don't have student learning at the center; goals are not specific, attainable, or results oriented.</li> <li>• Team dialogue and exchange are rare or infrequent.</li> <li>• Team members do not adopt the team task as part of their larger teaching purpose.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team's focus is sometimes proactive, but the task is often undermined by reacting to crises or pressing school needs.</li> <li>• Team devotes 30%–50% of meeting time to logistics.</li> <li>• Team has identified some goals that focus on student learning; some goals are specific, attainable, and results oriented.</li> <li>• Team dialogue and exchange foster collaborative sharing of classroom activities and resources.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team goals are challenging; focused on curriculum, instruction, and student learning; and specific, attainable, and results oriented.</li> <li>• Team's focus is proactive, concentrating on assessment and future planning; little time is spent reacting to crises or school needs that do not relate to the team.</li> <li>• Team devotes a maximum of 20% of meeting time to logistical issues.</li> <li>• Team dialogue and exchange develop new team understandings about teaching and learning.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Evidence</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<p><b>Leadership</b></p> <p><i>Does the team encourage leadership by all its members?</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One team member tends to assume leadership (or is deferred to) on a regular basis.</li> <li>• Team members do not initiate new leadership activities or roles on their own.</li> <li>• Team has not developed skills in deciding whether a task is best done as a team or individually.</li> <li>• Team members maintain their individual entrepreneurial status that seems like "parallel play."</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team leadership is rotated among team members but without clear purpose; leadership and facilitation skills have not yet been taught to team members, and the team recognizes its need to improve these skills.</li> <li>• Team encourages its members to assume new leadership roles but does not think strategically about how these roles will affect and improve the team.</li> <li>• Team is working on, but has not yet achieved, the goal of distributing leadership in a manner that results in team improvements.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team members distribute leadership functions in a purposeful and strategic way (e.g., expertise in areas of curriculum and instruction).</li> <li>• Team leadership is rotated with an explicit goal of developing leadership skills for all team members. (In some cases team leaders are designated.)</li> <li>• All team members can provide a common description of how leadership works on their team.</li> <li>• Team members are supported as they assume new, as well as established, leadership functions.</li> <li>• Team leader ensures that each meeting agenda is planned, facilitated, and communicated.</li> <li>• Team communicates and networks with other teams and the administration, and seeks outside resources.</li> </ul>

Condition	Not present	Developing	Well underway
<b>Evidence</b>	•	•	•
<b>Collaborative Climate</b>  <i>Does the team promote a working environment that generates trust, communication, and synergy?</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team members do not identify or make use of other teammates' strengths; new ideas are routinely defeated.</li> <li>• Team conflicts are ignored or denied. Team members avoid handling conflict, don't recognize when it might be productive for the group.</li> <li>• Norms of listening and participating have not been established (e.g., acknowledging, probing, summarizing).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Some team members identify strengths of individuals, but the team does not utilize the strengths strategically to advance the goals of the team.</li> <li>• New ideas from team members are encouraged, but processes are not in place to ensure action steps are tied to agreed-upon ideas.</li> <li>• Team conflicts are identified but inconsistently dealt with due to lack of skills or processes.</li> <li>• Norms of listening are implicit, and team members occasionally uphold them.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team performance is measured by assessing collective work products.</li> <li>• Team acknowledges each member's strengths and creates a shared understanding of strategic ways each individual contributes.</li> <li>• Team uses processes that value diverse perspectives in order to develop team understandings and solutions. Each voice is heard and valued.</li> <li>• Conflicts within the team are brought to the team for resolution. The team employs a conflict resolution process that solves the problems and promotes collaboration and collegiality.</li> <li>• Team has established and upholds norms of effective listening.</li> <li>• In addition to internal collaboration, the team networks and communicates with other teams and the administration, and seeks outside resources.</li> </ul>
<b>Evidence</b>	•	•	•
<b>Personal Responsibility</b>  <i>Is there an expectation of performance improvement for both the team and the individual?</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team members are often late and/or come unprepared to meetings.</li> <li>• Team members give feedback that is frequently critical and negative.</li> <li>• Team members fail to complete tasks in a timely manner, or quality is unacceptable to the team.</li> <li>• Team members are most concerned with personal agendas.</li> <li>• Team members have articulated no expectations of accountability.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team members are sometimes late and sometimes come unprepared.</li> <li>• Team members focus on problems they have with others' viewpoints and sometimes give ideas for improvement.</li> <li>• Team members complete some assigned tasks, not always according to the agreed-upon schedule; quality varies.</li> <li>• Team members are somewhat concerned with team success.</li> <li>• Many team members hold themselves accountable individually, but no group-level process is in place.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team members are punctual and come prepared to all team meetings.</li> <li>• Team members give both positive comments and constructive feedback for improvement.</li> <li>• Team members complete tasks effectively and on schedule.</li> <li>• Team members place highest priority on team success.</li> <li>• Team members hold individual members accountable for their performance and for results.</li> </ul>

Condition	Not present	Developing	Well underway
<b>Evidence</b>	•	•	•
<b>Structures and Processes</b>  <i>Does the team establish ways to work together and achieve agreed upon goals?</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team lacks many effective meeting practices. Meetings lack clear goals for student learning, agendas, and documentation.</li> <li>• Meetings do not begin on time, and/or time management is not evident.</li> <li>• Team does not focus on student needs until adult needs have been accommodated.</li> <li>• Team has not developed skills for deciding whether tasks are best done as a team or individually.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team has developed some explicit goals for student learning and has expectations that appeal to most team members.</li> <li>• Team is developing effective meeting practices. Meetings have some, but not all, of the elements important to productive meetings.</li> <li>• Team tries to adapt plans to student needs but sometimes fails because of poor skills or lack of consensus.</li> <li>• Team is developing skills in determining whether tasks are best done as a team or individually.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Team has well-defined goals and expectations focused on student learning.</li> <li>• Team continuously adapts plans to meet the needs of its students.</li> <li>• Team follows effective meeting practices (e.g., clear objectives, agenda, stays on task, appropriate time management, appropriate documentation).</li> <li>• Team has a process for deciding whether tasks are best done as a team or individually.</li> </ul>
<b>Evidence</b>	•	•	•